

FILED
2011 JUN 22 AM 11:00
OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

**UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR**

In the Matter of:

Rockview Dairies, Inc.,

Respondent.

)
)
)
)
)

Docket No. EPCRA-09-2010-0029

PREHEARING ORDER

As you were previously notified, I am designated to preside over the above-captioned matter. This proceeding will be governed by the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.1 *et seq.* ("Rules of Practice"). The parties are advised to familiarize themselves with the applicable statute(s) and the Rules of Practice. A Citizen's Guide, which serves as a procedural guide to parties not represented by counsel, and significant decisions issued by the office of the undersigned are accessible on the world wide web at <http://www.epa.gov/oalj>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "Agency") policy strongly supports settlement, and the procedures governing the documentation of settlement agreements are set forth in Section 22.18 of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.18. The record reflects that the parties participated in the Alternative Dispute Resolution process offered by this office for four months, but were unable to agree on a settlement. Each party is reminded that pursuing this matter through a hearing and possible appeals will require the expenditure of significant amounts of time and financial resources. Each party should also realistically consider the risk of not prevailing in the proceeding despite such expenditures. While a negotiated settlement allows the parties to control the outcome of the proceeding, a judicial decision eliminates that control. With these considerations in mind, the parties are directed to engage in a settlement conference on or before **June 17, 2011**, and again attempt to reach an amicable resolution of this matter. Complainant shall file a Status Report regarding this conference and the status of settlement on or before **June 24, 2011**. If the case is settled, a fully-executed Consent Agreement and Final Order shall be filed no later than **July 15, 2011**, with a copy sent to the undersigned.

Should a Consent Agreement and Final Order not be finalized on or before the deadline set forth above, the parties shall prepare for hearing and strictly comply with the prehearing requirements of this Order, as set forth below.

PREHEARING EXCHANGE. Pursuant to Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.19(a), the parties are directed to engage in the following prehearing exchange:

1. Each party¹ shall file with the Regional Hearing Clerk, serve on the opposing party, and serve on the undersigned as part of its Initial Prehearing Exchange:

(A) a list of names of the expert and other witnesses intended to be called at hearing,

¹ Respondent Rockview Dairies, Inc., appearing *pro se*, filed an Answer in the form of a letter.

identifying each as a fact witness or an expert witness, a brief narrative summary of each witness' expected testimony, and a curriculum vitae or resume for each identified expert witness, or a statement that no witnesses will be called;

(B) copies of all documents and other exhibits intended to be introduced into evidence, identified as Complainant's or Respondent's exhibits, as appropriate, and numbered with Arabic numerals (e.g., "CX 1"); and

(C) a statement explaining its views as to the appropriate place for the hearing and the estimated amount of time needed to present its direct case. See Sections 22.21(d) and 22.19(d) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.21(d) and 22.19(d). Also, state whether translation services are necessary in regard to the testimony of any witness(es), and, if so, state the language to be translated.

2. In addition, Complainant shall file the following as part of its Initial Prehearing Exchange:

(A) a copy or URL of any EPA policy or guidance document upon which Complainant has relied, or intends to rely, in determining the proposed penalty; and

(B) a narrative statement, and a copy of any documents in support, explaining in detail how the proposed penalty was calculated, including a discussion of each penalty assessment factor set forth in Section 325 of EPCRA.

3. In addition, Respondent shall submit the following as part of its Initial Prehearing Exchange(s):

(A) if Respondent intends to take the position that it is unable to pay the proposed penalty or that payment will have an adverse effect on its ability to continue to do business, a copy of any and all documents it intends to rely upon in support of such position; and

(B) if Respondent intends to take the position that the proposed penalty should be reduced or eliminated on any other grounds, a copy of any and all documents it intends to rely upon in support of such position.

4. Finally, Complainant shall submit as part of its Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange a statement and/or any documents in response to Respondents' Initial Prehearing Exchange(s) as to provisions 3(A) and (B) above.

The prehearing exchanges called for above shall be filed in seriatim fashion, pursuant to the following schedule:

July 15, 2011	Complainant's Initial Prehearing Exchange
August 5, 2011	Respondent's Prehearing Exchange(s)
August 19, 2011	Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange

Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.19(a), provides that, except in accordance with Section 22.22(a), **any document not included in the prehearing exchange shall not be admitted into evidence, and any witness whose name and testimony summary are not included in the prehearing exchange shall not be allowed to testify.** Therefore, each

party is advised to very carefully and thoughtfully prepare its prehearing exchange.

SUPPLEMENT TO PREHEARING EXCHANGE. Any addition of a proposed witness or exhibit to the prehearing exchange shall be filed with an accompanying motion to supplement the prehearing exchange.

DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING. The Complaint notified Respondent of the opportunity to request a hearing, in accordance with Section 554 of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. §§ 554 et seq. Pursuant to Section 554(c)(2) of the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 554(c)(2), a hearing will be conducted in accordance with Section 556 of the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 556. Section 556(d) of the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 556(d), provides that a party is entitled to present its case or defense by oral or documentary evidence, to submit rebuttal evidence, and to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Thus, Respondent has the right to defend against Complainant's charges by way of direct evidence, rebuttal evidence, or through cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses. Respondent is entitled to elect any or all three means to pursue its defense. If Respondent intends to elect only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses and to forgo the presentation of direct and/or rebuttal evidence, Respondent shall serve a statement to that effect on or before the date for filing its Initial Prehearing Exchange.

Respondent is hereby notified that the failure either to comply with the prehearing exchange requirements set forth herein or to state that it is electing only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant's witnesses can result in the entry of a default judgment against the defaulting party. Complainant is notified that its failure to file its prehearing exchange in a timely manner can result in a dismissal of the case with prejudice.

Absent an amendment to this Order, the mere pendency of settlement negotiations, or even the existence of a settlement in principle, does not constitute a basis for failing to strictly comply with the prehearing exchange requirements and deadlines in this Order. Only the filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk of a fully-executed Consent Agreement and Final Order, or an order of the judge, excuses noncompliance with these filing deadlines.

FILING AND SERVICE. A document is "filed" on the date the Regional Hearing Clerk receives it. 40 C.F.R. § 22.5(a). Therefore, in order for a document to be timely filed, the parties are required to send a document far enough in advance of the filing deadline to be received by the Regional Hearing Clerk on or before that deadline. The parties shall serve the undersigned such that she also receives the document on or before the filing deadline. To ensure that the undersigned receives a document in a timely manner, a courtesy copy may be sent by facsimile or email to the Office of Administrative Law Judges, but a hard copy also must be mailed. The facsimile number for the Office of Administrative Law Judges is (202) 565-0044, and the email address is oaljfilng@epa.gov. A certificate of service must be attached to all filed documents. 40 C.F.R. § 22.5(a)(3).

Prehearing exchange information, as well as any motions or other papers to be filed in this proceeding, shall be addressed to the undersigned as follows:

If sent by the U.S. Postal Service (USPS):

The Honorable Susan L. Biro, Chief Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Law Judges
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Mail Code 1900L

Washington, DC 20460

If hand-delivered or sent by a non-USPS delivery service, such as Federal Express or UPS, that x-rays their packages as part of its routine security procedures:

The Honorable Susan L. Biro, Chief Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Law Judges
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1099 14th Street, N.W., Suite 350
Washington, DC 20005

The parties are advised NOT to include, attach, or refer to any terms of settlement offers or agreements in any document submitted to the undersigned, and no copies of Consent Agreements and Final Orders shall be submitted, or attached to any document submitted, to the undersigned except those that are fully executed and filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

CONTACT INFORMATION. Telephone contact may be made with my legal assistant, Maria Whiting-Beale, at (202) 564-6259 to inquire as to whether a document has been received or issued. Telephone or e-mail contact may be made with my staff attorney, Roy Seidenstein, Esq., at (202) 564-9274 or seidenstein.roy@epa.gov for procedural questions.

COURTESY COPIES. If any party wishes to receive, by e-mail or facsimile, an expedited courtesy copy of substantive orders and decisions issued in this proceeding, the party shall submit a request for such copies by letter addressed to Maria Whiting-Beale at one of the addresses above. The letter shall include the case docket number, the party's e-mail address or facsimile number, and a statement as to whether the party requests expedited courtesy copies of (a) the initial decision and/or any orders on motions for accelerated decision or dismissal, or (b) all decisions and substantive orders. The undersigned's office will endeavor to comply with such requests but does not guarantee the party's receipt of expedited courtesy copies.

MOTIONS. Prior to filing any motion, the moving party is required to contact the non-moving party to determine whether the non-moving party has any objection to the granting of the relief sought in the motion. The motion shall state the position of the non-moving party. The mere consent of the non-moving party to the relief sought does not assure that the motion will be granted. Furthermore, all motions must be submitted in sufficient time to permit the filing of a response by the non-moving party and/or the issuance of a ruling on the motion before any relevant deadline set by this or any subsequent order. Sections 22.16(b) and 22.7(c) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.16(b) and 22.7(c), allow a 15-day response period for motions, with an additional five days added thereto if the motion is served by mail. Motions not filed in a timely manner may not be considered.

If any party intends to file any dispositive motion regarding liability, such as a motion for accelerated decision or motion to dismiss under Section 22.20(a) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.20(a), it shall be filed within thirty days after the due date for Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange.

Pursuant to Section 22.16(d) of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.16(d), a party may submit a written request for oral argument upon filing a motion, a response to a motion, or a reply. The requesting party shall propose an appropriate location for the argument. The Office of Administrative Law Judges has access to videoconferencing technology and strongly encourages the parties to consider utilizing such technology for oral arguments on motions so as to minimize the expenditure of time and financial resources in connection with such arguments. A request for

oral argument may be granted, in the undersigned's discretion, where further clarification and elaboration of arguments would be of assistance in ruling on the motion.

SO ORDERED.

Handwritten signature of Susan L. Biro in black ink.


Susan L. Biro
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Dated: June 6, 2011
Washington, D.C.

In the ADR Matter of *Rockview Dairies, Incorporated*, Respondent.
Docket No. EPCRA-09-2010-0029

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **Prehearing Order**, issued by Chief Administrative Law Judge Susan Biro, was sent on June 6, 2011 in the following manner to the addressees listed below.


Knolyn R. Jones
Legal Staff Assistant

Original and One Copy to:

Steven Armsey
Regional Hearing Clerk
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA, Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, ORC -1
San Francisco, CA 94105

One Copy by Pouch Mail to:

Ivan Lieben, Esq.
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. EPA, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street, ORC-2
San Francisco, CA 94105

One Copy by Regular Mail to:

William Kroese
Director of Safety & Loss Prevention
Rockview Dairies, Inc.
7011 Stewart & Gray Road
P.O. Box 668
Downey, CA 90241-0668

Dated: June 6, 2011
Washington, D.C.